

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 10/10/2002

APPLICATION NO.	FILING	DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/933,315	08/20/2001		Erik V. Johnson	14210BAUS02U	7049
28901	7590	10/10/2002			
BROMBERG & SUNSTEIN LLP NORTEL NETWORKS LIMITED 600 TECHNOLOGY PARK DRIVE, MS E65-60-403				EXAMINER	
				LAVARIAS, ARNEL C	
BILLERICA, MA 01821				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2872	<del></del>

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	7				
,		09/933,315	JOHNSON ET AL.	,				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Arnel C. Lavarias	2872					
	- The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
THE N - Exten after: - If the - If NO - Failur - Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Is ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed  rs will be considered timely. I the mailing date of this communication. CD (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status earne	d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
2a)□	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ Thi	is action is non-final.						
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims	ex parte Quayre, 1909 O.B. 11, 4	700 0.0. 210.					
4) 🖾	Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application	•						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)	6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7)	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)⊠	Claim(s) 1-11 are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.						
Application	on Papers							
·	The specification is objected to by the Examiner							
10)[] 7	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accep	ted or b)☐ objected to <b>by the Exa</b> l	miner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11)∐ 1	he proposed drawing correction filed on		ved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
	a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priorion application from the International Bure et the attached detailed Office action for a list of the action for a list	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	-					
14) 🗌 A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e	e) (to a provisional application).					
	☐ The translation of the foreign language procknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic							
Attachment								
2) Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal F	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Art Unit: 2872

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 2-4, drawn to an optical logic device wherein the at least one stable, non-absorbing optical hard limiter comprises alternating layers of materials and wherein the transmitted and reflected characteristics are defined in Claims 3 and 4, respectively, classified in class 359, subclass 244.
  - II. Claim 5, drawn to an optical gain element comprising a first, a second, and a third stable, non-absorbing optical hard limiter, classified in class 359, subclass 333.
  - III. Claims 6-11, drawn to the various optical AND, OR, XOR, NOT, NAND, and NOR gates based on stable, non-absorbing optical hard limiters, classified in class 359, subclass 108.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions II/III and I are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because neither the material characteristics nor the transmitted or reflected light characteristics of the stable, non-absorbing optical hard

Application/Control Number: 09/933,315

Art Unit: 2872

limiters of the subcombination are present in the claimed combinations. The subcombination has separate utility such as in laser-based optical spectroscopy.

- 3. Inventions II and III are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention II has separate utility such as optical amplification or laser-based optical spectroscopy. See MPEP § 806.05(d).
- 4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Groups II or III, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 6. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 7. Claim 1 link(s) inventions I, II, and III. The restriction requirement among the linked inventions is subject to the nonallowance of the linking claim(s), Claim 1. Upon the allowance of the linking claim(s), the restriction requirement as to the linked inventions shall be withdrawn and any claim(s) depending from or otherwise including all the limitations of the allowable linking claim(s) will be entitled to examination in the instant application. Applicant(s) are advised that if any such claim(s) depending from or including all the limitations of the allowable linking claim(s) is/are presented in a

Application/Control Number: 09/933,315

Art Unit: 2872

continuation or divisional application, the claims of the continuation or divisional application may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application. Where a restriction requirement is withdrawn, the provisions of 35 U.S.C. 121 are no longer applicable. *In re Ziegler*, 44 F.2d 1211, 1215, 170 USPQ 129, 131-32 (CCPA 1971). See also MPEP § 804.01.

8. A telephone call was not made to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement due to the complexity of the restriction requirement.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

- Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Arnel C. Lavarias whose telephone number is 703-305-4007. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30 AM 5 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cassandra Spyrou can be reached on 703-308-1687. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-7722 for regular communications and 703-308-7722 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1782.

Arnel C. Lavarias October 7, 2002

08MM

Cassandra Spyrou
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 2800